IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4561 OF 2007

Sarita Sharad Naik ... .Petitioner.
V/s
State of Maharashtra & Ors..... ... .Respondents.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances Court's orders or directions and Registrar' orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

---

Ms.Poonam Bhosale, Adv. for the petitioner.

Ms.V.S.Mhaisapurkar, AGP for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr.M.V.Aiya, Adv. For respondent No.4.

CORAM: A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
19.7.2007

PC:

This writ petition challenges the same order which is impugned in Writ Petition No.4593/07. As per the minutes of order tendered therein I have set aside the impugned order and directed the revisional authority to decide the revision afresh after hearing all the concerned parties. As the revision is to be heard afresh the order impugned in this writ petition as well needs to be quashed and set aside. Realising this

Parties have filed on record minutes of order agreeing therein to set aside the impugned order dated 1.6.07 with further direction to revisional authority to decide the revision expeditiously after affording an opportunity of being heard to the parties. Minutes of order are taken on record and marked `X' for identification. In the result impugned order dated 1.6.07 is quashed and set aside. The revision petition pending on the file of the respondent No.2 stands restored to file. The 2nd respondent is directed to decide the same afresh on merit after affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties. In the facts of the present case I hope and trust that respondent No.2 will decide the revision as expeditiously as possible preferably within two months from today. Rule made absolute in above terms.

19.7.07